Response to Office Action Mailed July 6, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

VIA EFS

Appl. No.: 10/654,668 Confirmation No.: 5368

Applicant: Brian A. Rosenfeld, M.D. and Michael Breslow, M.D.

Filed: September 4, 2003

Group Art Unit: 3626

Examiner: MORGAN, Robert W.

Docket No.: 2483-001CIP1

MAIL STOP AMENDMENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office action mailed July 6, 2007, Applicant submits the following:

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

A. Generally

Claims 9-39 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1-8 were previously canceled. No new matter has been added.

B. Related Prosecutions

This Office Action is directed to Application No. 10/654,668, which application claims priority from U.S. Patent 6,804,656 issued on October 12, 2004 (the "656 Patent"). The prosecution of the '656 Patent involved multiple reviews and a long list of references. The '656 Patent issued with claims 1-26.

In the Notice of Allowance mailed June 2, 2004, the examiner cited the following reasons for allowance of claims 30-55 (renumbered in the '656 Patent as claims 1-26):

The present invention comprises independent claims 30 and 46. These claims include the following features that distinguish Applicants' invention over the prior art: utilizing a remote command center in conjunction with a workstation, to monitor and if necessary intervene in the expert critical care of critically ill patients in a plurality of geographically dispersed intensive care units twenty-four hours a day seven days a week